

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,510	10/25/2000	James N. Elesh	1300-155	2578	
7590 06/13/2002					
LAFF, WHITESEL & SARET, LTD. 401 North Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60611			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ARNOLD III, TROY G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3728		
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Elesh et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner Troy Arnold

09/696,510

Art Unit **3728**

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on *Apr 25, 2002* 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) X This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 14 and 15 , + 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) 💢 Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. 7) U Claim(s) 8) Claims ______ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ______ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ___

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Cai. Cai teaches a system comprising a carton 10 having a large compartment 32 with means for sealing 58, and small compartment 34 with means for temporarily closing 26,52. The carton itself will inherently be a "means for compacting," such as if a soft, compressible item were pushed inside.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hooker. Hooker teaches a system comprising a carton having a large compartment with means for sealing, and small compartment with means for temporarily closing, as seen in Figs 1-6. The carton itself will inherently be a "means for compacting," such as if a soft, compressible item were pushed inside.

Application/Control Number: 09696510

Art Unit:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hooker in view of Keeton. Hooker teaches all the limitations of claim 15 except the means for compacting comprising a bag, and a vacuum pump connected to the bag. Keeton teaches the use of a bag 3 and vacuum pump for the purpose of evacuating a package. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the bag and vacuum pump of Keeton into the package system of Hooker for the purpose of reducing the size of packaged items, thereby allowing the shipping of more items.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 25, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is submitted that the rejections above are proper and do not rely on hindsight.

Any specific inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner may be directed to Troy Arnold at (703) 305-0621. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday 9:30am - 6pm EST. Official responses may also be faxed

Application/Control Number: 09696510

Page 4

Art Unit:

directly to Tech Center 3700 at (703) 872-9302, and after final responses to (703) 872-9303.

Questions of a general nature may be directed to the Group Receptionist at (703) 308-1148. Tech Center 3700 Customer Service may also be reached at (703) 306-5648.

Troy Arnold

June 4, 2002

M.D. Patterson Primary Examiner